

No. 142, Original

In The
Supreme Court of the United States

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Plaintiff,

v.

STATE OF GEORGIA,

Defendant.

**GEORGIA'S OBJECTIONS TO WRITTEN DIRECT
TESTIMONY OF MAJOR ROB BEATON**

SAMUEL S. OLENS
ATTORNEY GENERAL
STATE OF GEORGIA DEPARTMENT OF LAW
40 Capitol Square
Atlanta, GA 30334
Tel.: (404) 656-3383
AGOlens@law.ga.gov

CRAIG S. PRIMIS, P.C.
Counsel of Record
K. WINN ALLEN
DEVORA W. ALLON
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
655 15th Street, NW
Washington, DC 20005
Tel.: (202) 879-5000
craig.primis@kirkland.com

October 31, 2016

Pursuant to Case Management Order 20, the State of Georgia hereby serves objections to the admission of the following portions of the Direct Testimony of Major Rob Beaton.

Portion of Testimony	Basis of Objection
¶ 9 (“[T]he oyster size limit is a consumer-driven regulation that reflects market preference for three-inch oysters.”)	Foundation; Hearsay
¶ 14 (“[A]ny law enforcement in the country would accept additional resources and put them to use.”)	Foundation
¶ 14 (“Many reports of unlawful harvesting activity came from consumers who were served undersized oysters at restaurants or who purchased undersized oysters in retail stores.”)	Foundation
¶ 17 (“Historically, FWC has far exceeded the FDA’s requirements for patrol, frequency, equipment, education efforts, number of citations and convictions, and other areas.”)	Foundation
¶ 18 (“FDACS staff would observe bags containing undersized oysters beyond the tolerance level and would pass the harvester’s information to us. More often than not, we would arrest the identified individual within the following weeks.”)	Foundation; Hearsay